UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,196	10/13/2005	Akira Hasegawa	Q89903	1268
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/08/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	FIORITO, JAMES		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		196	HASEGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
	JAMES	A. FIORITO	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THI - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ofmost of the provise of the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ofmost of the provise	E MAILING DATE OF cions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. In statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the present of the present of	2b)⊡ This action is on for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to research	s/are withdrawn from o			
	the Eveniner			
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu-	re: a) accepted or bjection to the drawing(s ling the correction is requ) be held in abeyance uired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some complete Copies of the prious Certified copies of the prious Copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of the prior copies of the certified copi	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be es of the priority docur ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in App ments have been re ule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) /lail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/553,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kasuga "Formation of titanium oxide nanotube," American Chemical Society (1998).
- 4. Kasuga teaches a method of producing titania nanotubes by dispersing nanometer size titanium dioxide in sodium hydroxide at temperature of 60 degrees C (Page 3161 Column 2). The resulting nanotubes have a diameter of 0.05 0.15 microns and an aspect ratio between 40 and 100 (Page 3160 Column 1).
- 5. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasuga "Formation of titanium oxide nanotube", American Chemical Society (1998) in view of Grimes "A sentinel sensor network for hydrogen sensing", Sensors (Published February 2003).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,196 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

6. Kasuga does not expressly teach a sensor having the titania nanotube according to claim 1 or 2 and an electrode in which the titania nanotube and the electrode and connected.

- 7. Grimes teaches the use of titania nanotubes as hydrogen sensors, wherein the titania nanotubes and connected to platinum electrodes (Abstract).
- 8. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the process of Kasuga to include the titania nanotubes being uses as hydrogen sensors in view of the teaching of Grimes. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to form a wireless sensor network for in-situ monitoring of atmospheric hydrogen concentration (Abstract).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 3/12/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicant argues that the reactants in the reaction are not dispersed. In response, the process taught in Kasuga inherently requires some level of dispersion of the reactants in order to facilitate a reaction.
- 11. Applicant argues that the "≈" sign means "not more than." In response, there is no evidence in support of this argument, and it appears that the same sign could mean "approximately."
- 12. Applicant argues that Kasuga 1 has not confirmed titanium oxide nanotubes of the length of 10 μm or more. In response, Kasuga's teaching of needle shaped titanium

dioxide having a diameter of 0.05 to 0.15 μm with an aspect ratio of 40-100 serves as confirmation of a titania nanotube having a length of 10 μm or more.

Applicant argues that Kasuga 1 does not teach dispersion by stirring or ultrasonication. In response, it appears that claims 12 and 13 do not require dispersion of the reactants, only that some dispersion occurs by ultrasonication. Kasuga teaches dispersion in ethanol by an ultrasonic bath (Page 3162 Column 1). However, even if the claims requires that the reactants be dispersed by stirring or ultrasonication, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to disperse the reactants of Kasuga by stirring or ultrasonication since stirring or ultrasonication are among the finite number of possible means of contacting to liquid reactants known to those with ordinary skill in the art. See *KSR*.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES A. FIORITO whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A Fiorito/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793